

OPEN LETTER: WE CALL ON THE EU TO ABANDON TARGETS FOR BIOFUEL USE IN EUROPE

31 January 2007

To: The Council of the European Union, the European Commission, the European Parliament, and citizens in Europe

We are extremely concerned by the plans as presented by the European Commission to adopt a mandatory target for biofuel use in transport. Implementing these measures means that the EU will risk breaching its international commitments to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and protect biodiversity and human rights; because, as set out below – the proposed targets will amongst other things promote crops with poor greenhouse gas balances, trigger deforestation and loss of biodiversity and exacerbate local land use conflicts.

BIOFUEL TARGETS WITHOUT MUCH STRONGER COMMITMENTS TO REDUCE CONSUMPTION ARE COUNTER-PRODUCTIVE

Any targets relating to energy we believe, must therefore **first** be directed towards **reducing overall energy use, and improving energy efficiency**. Instead of addressing Europe's excessive consumption, the Commission proposes a biofuels target as a percentage of the EU's fast growing and of as yet unlimited transport fuel consumption.¹ This approach must be rejected as counterproductive. The fact that the European Commission's 'Energy Package'² only proposes targets for biofuels for transport but not for other alternative energies is indicative of a seriously flawed policy approach to addressing greenhouse gas emissions.

TARGETS WILL NEGATIVELY IMPACT THE GLOBAL SOUTH

The EU is suggesting that much of the biofuel crop will have to be produced in the global South and exported to Europe.³ Although presented as an opportunity for Southern economies, evidence suggests that monoculture crops for biofuel such as oil palm, soya, sugar cane and maize lead to increased destruction of biodiversity and rural livelihoods and further erosion of food security, with serious impacts on water, soil, and regional climate patterns.⁴ Several statements already made by civil society organisations from the South express deep concern and call for a rejection of the EU biofuel plans.⁵

RISK OF INCREASED CLIMATE IMPACTS OF BIOFUELS

Biofuel is arguably the least desirable alternative energy form for which the EU could set a target. Biofuels for transport are less effective than wind, solar or solid biomass energy schemes.⁶ The production of biofuel crops

1 Annual emissions from EU transport are expected to grow by 77 million tonnes CO₂eq between 2005 and 2020 – three times as much as from any other sector of the EU economy.

2 COMMUNICATION FROM THE COMMISSION: An energy policy for Europe COM(2007)1 http://ec.europa.eu/energy/energy_policy/index_en.htm

3 An EU strategy for biofuels, COM(2006) 34 sets out that "both domestic producers and importers should benefit from a growing EU market for biofuels".

4 See among others: Polluting effects of Brazil's sugar-ethanol industry by Luiz Antonio Martinelli and Solange Filoso. *Nature* 445, 364 (25 January 2007); Kamerun: Biodiesel als Export-Schlager. Menschen und Wälder müssen Ölpalmen weichen, http://www.afrika.info/aktuell_detail.php?N_ID=374&kp=news2007-01-11; World Rainforest Movement Bulletin 112, November 2006. www.wrm.org.uy; COLOMBIA: Biodiesel Push Blamed for Violations of Rights by Helda Martínez. <http://www.ipsnews.net/news.asp?idnews=35722>

5 (1) We want Food Sovereignty Not Biofuels, signed by Alert Against the Green Desert Network, Latin American Network against Monoculture Tree Plantations, Network for a GM free Latin America, OilWatch South America and World Rainforest Movement, January 2007. www.wrm.org.uy/subjects/biofuels/EU_declaration.html (2) Statement from SawitWatch. (3) Statement by the UN Climate Convention negotiations in Nairobi, November 2006, demanding "...the Parties to the Framework Convention on Climate Change to immediately suspend all subsidies and other forms of inequitable support for the import and export of biofuels." http://www.wrm.org.uy/actors/CCC/Nairobi/Disaster_Making.html

6. For solar vs. biomass, see Pimentel et al, 2002. <http://dematerialism.net/Pimentel.pdf>, Table 2. Onshore wind can achieve over 3MW ha⁻¹ of actual standing land, NET of wind variability, see British Wind Energy Association, <http://www.bwea.com/ref/faq.html>, equivalent to 38 hectares delivering over 1 bn kWh per year, i.e. far better than solar. For biomass re. biofuels: A biomass crop can be chosen for best overall energy yield, rather than oil or ethanol yield, and energy is not expended extracting or processing the biofuel element. See evidence to UK Commons EFRA Committee inquiry, <http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm200506/cmselect/cmenvfru/965/96507.htm#a22> Note also that tropical energy crops with the highest energy outputs take up productive land (so displacing natural carbon stores or farmland) and have other ecological downsides or costs e.g. fertilizer use, water.

uses scarce resources such as fresh water⁷ and productive land⁸ and in most crops used today, the greenhouse gas savings are marginal at best in comparison to fossil fuels. A thorough understanding of the emissions produced throughout the chain from land conversion to production, refining and use of biofuels is essential to ensure biofuel use will truly reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Not only is deforestation itself a major cause of CO₂ emissions, but biodiesel from South East Asian palm oil (where most world palm oil currently originates), can be expected to cause between two and eight times as much CO₂ emissions from damage to peat as the CO₂ emissions from the mineral diesel it replaces (by conservative estimates, and according to the most recent science).⁹ These emissions make it less likely for the EU to meet their commitment of achieving the climate target of no more than 2°C change in average global temperature.¹⁰ Furthermore, research already suggests that the carbon balance of some biofuel crops may actually be negative when taking the complete process into account.¹¹ Further study is thus needed *before* setting biofuel targets.

BIOFUELS WILL INCREASE PRESSURE ON WORLD FOOD SUPPLIES AND FURTHER ERODE FOOD SOVEREIGNTY

Price increases for some biofuel crops that are also staple foods will exacerbate not only deforestation, but also put food security at risk.¹² Since biofuel targets in the EU would promote the production of biomass in the global South, the EU could be responsible for reducing the area of land devoted to food production, so eroding local and international food security and sovereignty and causing food shortages. Like EU targets, the US biofuel targets have been criticized for requiring an excessive proportion of the corn crop.¹³ The combined additional pressure from these two economies on crops widely used as essential food crops seriously threatens food price increases in poorer countries. Already, US demand for biofuel from corn has increased the current world grain deficit, raising corn prices significantly.¹⁴ In addition, The FAO in 2006 reported a historical low in the world's stocks-to-use ratio for grains and record levels of demand (surpassing global production) for oil crops due to biofuel production. World cereal reserves have also fallen to their lowest level in more than two decades.¹⁵

MORE HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS RELATED TO MONOCULTURE EXPANSION

Serious human rights abuses have been reported from sugar cane, palm oil and soy plantations in Brazil, Argentina, Paraguay, Colombia and South-East Asia. These include slavery, very poor working conditions and low wages, violent land conflicts, death and health crises due to the use of agrochemicals and deforestation.¹⁶

⁷ Food, biofuels could worsen water shortages-report. <http://today.reuters.com/News/CrisesArticle.aspx?storyId=L18850725>.

⁸ See 20; also the EC Well To Wheels Study 2006 notes: "expansion of arable area onto other land, notably pasture and forest, would be likely to release large amounts of carbon from the soil, negating any benefit of the energy crops for decades to come." - p.76, download at <http://ies.jrc.ec.eu.int/wtw.html>

⁹ www.wetlands.org/publication.aspx?ID=51a80e5f-4479-4200-9be0-66f1aa9f9ca9

¹⁰ www.biofuelwatch.org.uk/peatfiresbackground.pdf

¹¹ COMMUNICATION FROM THE COMMISSION: An energy policy for Europe COM(2007)1 http://ec.europa.eu/energy/energy_policy/index_en.htm

¹² A Culinary and Cultural Staple in Crisis, Washington Post, January 26, 2007: <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2007/01/26/AR2007012601896.html>

¹³ In 2000, 6 percent of the U.S. corn crop was used for ethanol production. In 2006 the figure had risen to 20 percent, and the ethanol plants under construction would double capacity by 2010. The Washington Post: Blindness on Biofuels by Robert J. Samuelson Wednesday, January 24, 2007; Page A23

¹⁴ "Higher prices for corn (which is fed to poultry, hogs and cattle) raise retail meat prices. Ironically, fuel subsidies may boost food costs" The Washington Post: Blindness on Biofuels by Robert J. Samuelson Wednesday, January 24, 2007; Page A23

¹⁵ USDA. Grain: World Markets and Trade. Circular Series FG 11-06. November 2006. FAO Food Outlook N°. 2. Global Market Analysis. December 2006.

¹⁶ <http://tech.groups.yahoo.com/group/biofuelwatch/message/239>, <http://www.grr.org.ar/>

TARGETS WILL FUEL GM EXPANSION

The genetic engineering sector of the biotechnology industry is promoting biofuels to gain access to a new market. The GM varieties of several crops now used as biofuel crops (eg: maize, soya, oilseed rape) have met strong resistance to their use as food, especially in Europe. The industry hopes that by promoting them as biofuels, these crops will gain acceptance. However, the problems associated with GM, including contamination, would not be addressed. The introduction of GM crops in the South has had a massive impact on farming methods, human rights and the environment.¹⁷ An EU target will give support to the GM industry to expand still further. The industry also plans to use GM to alter, break down or remove the lignin and cellulose of plants to facilitate and increase biofuel yields¹⁸, with consequences that cannot be predicted.

INCENTIVES AND SUBSIDIES

If the EU applies incentives and subsidies to biofuels, these will further intensify all the pressures that we foresee from the targets. They will also distort markets and further undermine food production. They should not be applied while there is still so much argument about the real contribution biofuels can make to energy use and climate. Finally, incentives for biofuels contradict the pro-poor strategies of the Millennium Development Goals and disregard the 2010 Target agreed on at the World Summit for Sustainable Development in Johannesburg by adding a severe additional driver of biodiversity loss.

NO CREDIBLE CERTIFICATION PROCESS AVAILABLE AT THIS POINT

Sustainability certification is being proposed as a way of addressing many of the problems outlined above. However, the European Commission energy package does not provide clarity on whether a certification scheme for biofuels will be introduced, and if so, whether it would be voluntary or mandatory. Previous certification initiatives suggest that certification processes by themselves cannot address most of the environmental and social 'problems', particularly in countries with poor human rights records or weak enforcement of environmental and labour legislation. The Round Table on Responsible Soy (RSS), a voluntary certification process led by some large environmental NGO's and industry, has run into great controversy with civil society organisations and small farmers' movements in Latin America and is widely perceived as acting against their interests. The Round Table on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO) has yet to agree on procedures for verifying adherence to its standards and some of the RSPO industry members continue to destroy large areas of rainforest and openly bid for concessions which contravene RSPO principles, such as Wilmar International's bid for Bugala Island, Uganda, or PT SMART's plans for palm oil expansion in Indonesia. At present, no credible certification process leading to strong and mandatory standards, with full involvement of affected groups in producer countries, is available. Setting targets for biofuels before fully addressing the problems it can cause should be strongly rejected.

We therefore call on the Member States to reject the biofuel target for transport and halt all other incentives for biofuel production which could encourage in any way the use of biofuels linked to the problems described above. Instead, the focus should be on drastic reduction of energy use and support for genuinely sustainable renewables.

¹⁷ April 2005: Report "Argentina: A Case Study on the Impact of Genetically Engineered Soya - How producing RR soya is destroying the food security and sovereignty of Argentina" EcoNexus (UK) and Grupo de Reflexion Rural (Argentina).

¹⁸ For example: Plant genetic engineering to improve biomass characteristics for biofuels by Mariam Sticklen www.sciencedirect.com Current Opinion in Biotechnology 2006, 17:315-319

"We are collecting more signatures from organisations, local groups and well-known individuals, such as academics or writers. If you or your group would like to sign, please email us at info@biofuelwatch.org.uk".

Signatories: Organisations

1. Action Campaign for Endangered Species (ACES)
Brigitte Peter, <http://www.aga-international.de/index/index.html>
2. ACU Associazione Consumatori Utenti, Italy
www.acu.it/
3. AEFJN - Wtizerland (Reseau Foi et Justice Afrique Europe Antenne Suisse),
Switzerland
Claude Maillard, c.maillard@africanum.ch, www.rfjae.ch
4. Africa-Europe Faith and Justice Network, Switzerland,
Yvonne Goossens, yvonne.goossens@belgacom.net, <http://www.aefjn.org/>
5. Afrika-Europa Netwerk Nederlands, Netherlands
mafr.cor@planet.nl
6. Amis de la Terre (FoE France), France
www.amisdelaterre.org/
7. Arbeitsgemeinschaft Regenwald und Artenschutz, Germany
Wolfgang Kuhlmann, wolfgang.kuhlmann@araonline.de, www.araonline.de
8. Arbeitsgruppe Schweiz – Kolumbien, Germany
Stephan Suhner, stephansuhner@gmx.ch, www.kolumbien-aktuell.ch/
9. Asociacionsanfranciscodeasis, Argentina
asociacionsanfranciscodeasis@telpin.com.ar
10. ASEED Europe, Action for Solidarity, Equity, Environment and Solidarity, Holland
info@aseed.net, www.aseed.net/
11. Base Investigaciones Sociales, Paraguay
www.baseis.org.py/
12. Berne Declaration, Switzerland
<http://www.evb.ch/en/f25000188.html>
13. Bharat Krishak Samaj (Farmers' Forum India), India
Krishan Bir Chaudhary , bksnd@airtelbroadband.in
14. Biofuelwatch
info@biofuelwatch.org.uk
15. Biowatch SA, South Africa
www.biowatch.org.za
16. Borneo Orangutan Survival Foundation,
info@savetheorangutan.co.uk, www.savetheorangutan.org.uk/
17. Both ENDS Netherlands
www.bothends.org/ info@botheneds.org,
18. Brothers FIC - General Council, Netherlands
www.brothers-fic.org , gencouncil@brothers-fic.org
19. Bruno Manser Fonds – Fairness im Tropenwald, Switzerland
Lukas Straumann, www.bmf.ch
20. BSOe (Bundeskoordination student. Oekologiearbeit), Germany
www.bsoe.info/wir/
21. BUND (FoE Germany), Germany,

22. Angelika Zahmt, foret@amisdelaterre.org, info@bund.net , www.bund.net/
BUKO Agrar Koordination, Germany
www.bukoagrار.de
23. Bundersverband Buergerinitiativen Umweltschutz e.V., Germany
<http://www.bbu-online.de/>
24. CAPPА, Indonesia, Rivani Noor
rivani@cappa.or.id
25. Carbon Coach Ltd, UK
Dave Hampton, dave@carboncoach.com
26. Carbon Trade Watch, Transnational Institute
info@carbontradewatch.org, www.carbontradewatch.org, www.tni.org
27. Campaign Promotions, Gareth Strangemore-Jones
www.campaignpromotions.org
28. CENSAT Agua Viva, Friends of the Earth Colombia
www.censat.org, bosques@censat.org
29. Centre for Sustainable Agriculture, India
www.csa-india.org/
30. Centro de Proteccion a la Naturaleza, Argentina
cpronat01@yahoo.com.ar, <http://www.ambiente-ecologico.com/>
31. Chacabuco Sustentable, Argentina
santyoga@yahoo.com.ar
32. Chalice Farm and Sustainable Living Center, California, USA
chalicenew@earthlink.net
33. Cheltenham FoE, UK
sophie@lesberries.co.uk
34. C.I.F.A.E.S. - Universidad Rural Paulo Freire de Tierra de Campos, Spain
plataformarural@nodo50.org
35. Citizens' Biotechnology Information Center (CBIC), Japan
<http://www5d.biglobe.ne.jp/~cbic/english/index.html>
36. Climate Concern UK, Peter Hale,
peterhale@climate-concern.com, www.climate-concern.com
37. Climate Outreach and Information Network (COIN), UK
<http://coinet.org.uk/>
38. COECOceiba-FoE Costa Rica; Costa Rica; Isaac Rojas y Javier Baltodano;
gavitza@ice.co.cr , licania@racsa.co.cr ; www.coecoceiba.org
39. Colectivo de Colombianos Refugiados en Asturias, Spain,
refugiados@ozu.es
40. Common Sense Solutions, UK,
Rupert Hawley, ruz@mac.com
41. Gemeente MSC Missiehuis Tilbur, Netherlands
42. Corner House, UK,
www.thecornerhouse.org.uk
43. Coordinadora Ecoloxista d'Asturies, Spain
Fpontigo@telecable.es
44. Coordinación Técnica Red de Semillas, Spain
coord_redsemillas@agrariamanresa.org
45. Corporate Europe Observatory
ceo@corporateeurope.org, www.corporateeurope.org/

46. Dogwood Alliance, North Carolina, USA
www.dogwoodalliance.org/ , eva@dogwoodalliance.org
47. "Echos d'Amérique Latine" association, France
Abimael Castro, echosamela@yahoo.es
48. ecodevelop: Action for Socio-ecologic Development, Germany
ecodevelop@gmx.org
49. Ecologistas en Acción, Spain;
eea_comisioninternacional2@yahoo.es; www.ecologistasenaccion.org
50. Ecological Internet, USA
GlenBarry@EcologicalInternet.org, www.ecologicalinternet.org/
51. Ecological Society of the Philippines
jmc1@mozcom.com
52. Econexus
Helena Paul, h.paul@econexus.info, www.econexus.info
53. Ecoportal.Net, Argentina
Dra. Noemi Abad, nabad@ecoportal.net , www.ecoportal.net
54. Ecoropa, Bonn, Germany
Christine von Weizsäcker
55. El Sindicato Labrego Galego (SLG), Spain
www.sindicatolabrego.com, nacional@sindicatolabrego.com
56. EKPIZO, Consumer Association Quality of Life', Greece
www.ekpizo.gr/
57. European Region of the Humanist International,
Juha Uski, jjou@ruc.dk, www.humanisteurope.org
58. Exeter Friends of the Earth, UK
www.exeterfoe.org.uk, su3052@eclipse.co.uk
59. Farmers' Link, UK
www.farmerslink.org.uk/
60. FASE-AMAZONIA, Brazil
www.fase.org.br/, matheus@fase-pa.org.br
61. FASE Espirito Santo, Brazil,
<http://www.fase.org.br/> fase/
62. FERN, Jutta Kill
jutta@fern.org, www.fern.org/
63. Flipside Vision Ltd, UK
vision@flipsidevision.com
64. Food and Water Watch Europe
www.foodandwaterwatch.org
65. Food for Maine's Future, Maine, USA,
<http://foodformainesfuture.org> info@foodformainesfuture.org
66. Forum Fairer Handel, Germany
Dr. Hans-Christoph Bill, h-c.bill@hamburg.de , www.forum-fh.de/
67. Forum for Biotechnology and Food Security, India
bhaskargoswami@hotmail.com
68. Friends of the Earth Finland
riitta.savikko@maanystavat.fi, www.maanystavat.fi
69. Friends of the Earth Slovakia, www.priateliazeme.sk
Roman Havlicek, havlicek@changenet.sk

70. Fundacion Ambiente Nacional: , Jorge Castillo, Chaco Argentina
jorgecastillo1946@yahoo.com.ar, <http://www.farn.org.ar/>
71. Genetic Engineering Network, UK
www.geneticsaction.org.uk/, gen@topfield.org.uk
72. Genetic Food Alert, UK, Robert Vint
rjvint@globalnet.co.uk , <http://www.geneticfoodalert.supanet.com/>
73. Global2000, Friends of the Earth Austria
Silva Herrmann, www.global2000.at/
74. Global Justice Ecology Project, USA
globalecology@gmavt.net, www.globaljusticeecology.org/
75. GRAIN
sue@grain.org, www.grain.org/
76. Greenwood Alliance, California, USA
<http://www.gapsucks.org>, chalicenew@earthlink.net
77. Grupo de Ecología y Ecumenismo, Argentina
ecologiayecumenismo@yahoo.com.ar , marcelaromac@hotmail.com
78. Grupo de Reflexión Rural Europa
stella.semimo@mail.dk
79. Grupo de Reflexión Rural Argentina
www.grr.org.ar, contacto@grr.org.ar
80. Humane Earth Foundation, France
<http://www.terrehumaine.org>
81. INCOMINDIOS, Ressort Ressourcen, Switzerland,
Heinz Lippuner, Prof.Dr.phil., www.incomindios.ch/
82. Integrated Rural Development Foundation (IRDF), Philippines
www.irdfphil.org/, arze@info.com.ph
83. Klimabündnis Österreich
Johann Kandler, johann.kandler@klimabuendnis.at, www.klimabuendnis.at/
84. Kooperation Brasilien (KoBra), Germany
info@kooperation-brasilien.org, www.tropenwaldnetzwerk-brasilien.de/
85. Krishok Federation, Bangladesh, Badrul Alam, President
badrul_a@hotmail.com
86. Kritische Oekologie / ifak e.V., Germany
redaktion@kritische-oekologie.de
87. Large Scale Biofuels Action Group, UK
Andrew.Boswell@yahoo.co.uk
88. MATA - Asien im Blick, Germany, Nicole Weydmann
atarabi@gmx.net
89. Missionary Sisters of O.L.of Africa, Netherlands,
Anne Helwegen, helwe046@planet.nl
90. MOa (MOBIL Ohne auto), Germany
www.mobilohneauto.de
91. Mesa Global de Guatemala, Guatemala
www.mesaglobal.net/, mesaglobal@yahoo.es
92. Missionarissen van het heilig Har, Netherlands
www.misacor.nl/ missieprocuur@misacor.nl
93. Munlochy Vigil
<http://www.munlochygmvigil.org.uk>

94. National Forum of Forest People and Forest Workers(NFFPFW), India
info@forestpeoples.org
95. National Society of Conservationists, Friends of the Earth Hungary
<http://www.mtvosz.hu/> , info@mtvsz.hu
96. Netzwerk Afrika Deutschland, Germany
www.netzwerkafrika.de, nad.bonn@netzwerkafrika.de
97. NOAH - Friends of the Earth Denmark
www.noah.dk
98. Northwest Resistance Against Genetic Engineering, Oregon, USA
<http://www.nwrage.org>, jennifer@nwrage.org
99. Observatorio de la Deuda en la Globalización; Spain
www.debtwatch.org
100. Observatorio de Multinationales en América Latina,
observa.empresas@omal.info, www.omal.info
101. OeIE-Kaernten, Austria
buendnis.oeie@aon.at
102. Openheid naar de wereld
Zrs. Franciscanessen, Etten Leur, Netherlands
103. Oyugis Integrated Project Foundation, Netherlands
Henk van de Wal, h.wal13@chello.nl
104. Pestizid Aktions-Netzwerk e.V. (PAN Germany)
www.pan-germany.org
105. Planetary Health Inc., USA
shenwa@bcn.net
106. Plataforma Rural - Alianzas por un Mundo Rural Vivo , Spain
plataformarural@nodo50.org
107. Practical Action, Schumacher Centre for Technology and Development, UK
<http://www.practicalaction.org.uk> , Rachel Berger,
rachel.berger@practicalaction.org.uk
108. Pro REGENWALD, Germany, Hermann Edelmann
info@pro-regenwald.de, www.pro-regenwald.de
109. Proyecto Gran Simio, Spain
www.proyctogransimio.org, nautilusmar@yahoo.es
110. Pro Wildlife e.V., Germany
mail@prowildlife.de , www.prowildlife.de/
111. Rainforest Concern, UK
www.rainforestconcern.org , info@rainforestconcern.org
112. Red de Accion en Agricultura Alternativa (RAAA), Peru,
cooraaa@hotmail.com , www.raaa.org
113. Red de Acción en Plaguicidas y sus Alternativas RAP-AL, Latin America (all national branches)
RAP-AL: Pesticide Action Network Latin America and Caribbean
www.rap-al.org
114. RAP-AL Colombia
115. RAP-AM, Mexico
116. Rede Alerta Contra o Deserto Verde (Network Against Green Deserts), Brazil
<http://www.desertoverde.org/>
117. Red-Green Alliance, Denmark

- <http://enhedslisten.dk/>
118. Red Nacional de Accion Ecologista de la Argentina (Renace), Argentina
cpronat@yahoo.com.ar , <http://www.renace.net/>
119. Red Mexicana de Accion frente al Libre Comercio (RMALC) [The Mexican Action Network on Free Trade]
www.rmalc.org.mx ; rmalc@prodigy.net.mx
120. Regenwald Institut, Institut fuer angewandten Regenwaldschutz e.V.
<http://www.regenwald-institut.de/>
121. Rettet den Regenwald e. V., Germany
Reinhard Behrend, info@regenwald.org, www.regenwald.org/
122. Rising Tide Dde Cymru (South Wales), UK
Owain Davies, davies.owain@googlemail.com
123. Rising Tide North America
contact@risingtidenorthamerica.org , www.risingtidenorthamerica.org/
124. Robin Wood, Germany,
peter.gerhardt@robinwood.de , <http://www.umwelt.org/robin-wood/>
125. Scarborough Against Genetic Engineering (SAGE), UK
sage@envoy.dircon.co.uk
126. Solidarité, France
<http://solidarite.asso.fr>
127. Spiritan Community of Weert
herman.dillen@planet.nl
128. Sumatran Orangutan Society, UK
Helen Buckland, helen@orangutans-sos.org, www.orangutans-sos.org
129. Sustrans, UK, Peter Lipman
peter.lipman@sustrans.org.uk , <http://www.sustrans.org.uk/>
130. Swindon Friends of the Earth, UK,
Jean Saunders, jeanadsaunders@tiscali.co.uk
131. Terre des Hommes - Arbeitsgruppe Schwäbisch Gmünd, Germany
Norbert Müller, terre_des_hommes_gmuend@web.de
132. Terra de Direitos, Brazil,
terradedireitos@terradedireitos.org.br ; www.terradedireitos.org.br
133. Thanal, Kerala, India,
<http://www.thanal.org/>
134. The Land Is Ours, UK
www.tlio.org.uk
135. The Low Carbon Lifestyle Tour
www.lowcarbonlifestyle.org, mukti@lowcarbonlifestyle.org
136. Trashumancia y Naturaleza, Spain
Jesús Garzón
137. Veterinarios Sin Fronteras
Ferran Garcia, www.veterinariosinfronteras.org
138. Watch Indonesia!, Germany
Marianne Klute, marianneklute@arcor.de, home.snafu.de/watchin/
139. Westfälische Gesellschaft für Artenschutz e. V. (WGA), Germany
Dr. Martina Raffel, raffel@wgfa.de, www.wgfa.de/
140. Urgewald e.V., Germany
www.urgewald.de

141. Ursuline Sisters of Bergen NH, Netherlands
Sr.G.Weenink, gweenink@zonnet.nl
142. Vida,Si! Alberdi,Pcia de Tucuman, Argentina
vidasirenace@yahoo.com.ar
143. Wahana Lingkungan Hidup Indonesia (**WALHI** - Friends of the Earth Indonesia) -
national
www.walhi.or.id, Roelly Syumanda, roelly@walhi.or.id
144. WALHI (FoE) South Kalimantan, Indonesia
Berry Nahdian Forqan forqan@walhi.or.id
145. WALHI (Friends of the Earth) East Kalimantan, Indonesia
Isal Wardhana, pilanjau@gmail.com
146. World Development Movement, UK, www.wdm.org.uk
Peter@wdm.org.uk
147. Warwick & Leamington Green Party, Janet Alty
janet@alty.net
148. World Rainforest Movement
<http://www.wrm.org.uy>
149. Yayasan Keadilan Rakyat Jambi, Indonesian NGO
mama_aca@cappa.or.id
150. ZGAP e. V., Germany
Roland Wirth, <http://www.zgap.de>

Signatories: Individuals

151. Susan George Author, Chair of the Planning Board of the Transnational Institute,
www.tni.org/george
152. Dr. Mayer Hillman, Senior Fellow Emeritus, Policy Studies Institute
153. Caroline Lucas Green Party MEP, UK, caroline.lucas@europarl.europa.eu
154. Nora Radcliffe, MSP
155. Mark Lynas, journalist and author of High Tide
156. Brian D. Brunton, Managing Director, Alotau Environment Ltd, Papua New Guinea,
alotauen@online.net.pg
157. Dr. Klemens Laschefski, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Instituto de
Geociências, Departamento de Geografia, Brazil, klemens.laschefski@gmx.net
158. Ignacio Chapela, Associate Professor, Ph.D., University of California
ichapela@nature.berkeley.edu
159. Osvaldo Fornari, solicitor assisting people affected by pesticide poisoning on soy
plantations, Grupo de Reflexión Rural, Argentina
160. Dr Michael Antoniou, Department of Medical and Molecular Genetics, King's College
London School of Medicine
161. Jerry Ravetz, Associate Fellow, James Martin Institute for Science and Civilization,
Oxford University jerome-ravetz@tiscali.co.uk
162. Mohan Mathews, Biologist from India/Denmark, Mathews@webspeed.dk
163. Dr Mark Huxham, Senior Lecturer and Senior Teaching Fellow, School of Life
Sciences, Napier University, Edinburgh UK

164. Dr Ignacio A. Romero Senior Lecturer, Department of Biological Sciences, The Open University, Milton Keynes, UK
165. Sylvia Grevel, Theologian, Netherlands
166. Damian Allen, Executive Director of Children's Services,
damian.allen@knowsley.gov.uk
167. Fr Tom Wijffels M Afr. Jerusalem, Israel
168. Sr. Felisita Sri Budiarti, General Superior Sisters of Our Lady Amersfoort, Netherlands
169. Zr. Augustina Bastiaansen, Franciscanessen van Etten, Netherlands
170. Dr. Steffen Boehm, University of Essex, UK
171. Noemi Abad, Director of the journal Ambiente y Sociedad and of Ecoportal Net, Argentina
172. Gerard Dupin, General Manager, Tahoe!

- And 40 individuals not linked to organisations

Your organisation can sign this Open Letter by sending a message to:

info@biofuelwatch.org.uk

See www.biofuelwatch.org.uk for latest updated list of signatories